```
ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.
1
   United States Attorney
   ROBERT E. DUGDALE
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Chief, Criminal Division
   ANGELA L. SCOTT (Cal. Bar No. 240418)
   Assistant United States Attorney
 4
   OCDETF Section
        1400 United States Courthouse
5
         312 North Spring Street
        Los Angeles, California 90012
 6
        Telephone: (213) 894-6683
 7
        Facsimile: (213) 894-0142
        E-mail:
                     angela.l.scott@usdoj.gov
8
   Attorneys for Plaintiff
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
9
10
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
                   FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                      No. CR 14-132-GAF
13
             Plaintiff,
                                       ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND
                                       FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE
14
                                       TIME PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY
                  v.
                                       TRIAL ACT
15
   DANIEL VILLALOBOS,
                                      New TRIAL DATE:
                                                              10/14/14
16
             Defendant.
                                       New STATUS
17
                                                              09/29/14
                                       CONFERENCE:
18
19
        The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
20
   Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
21
   Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
22
   parties in this matter on July 14, 2014. The Court hereby finds
23
   that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference
24
   into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of
25
   the trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding
```

of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.

2728

§ 3161.

26

The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

- 1. The trial in this matter is continued from August 12, 2014, to October 14, 2014, at 8:30 a.m. The status conference hearing is continued to September 29, 2015, at 1:30 p.m.
- 2. The time period of August 12, 2014, to October 14, 2014, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).
- 3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of //

23 /

24 //

the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence. IT IS SO ORDERED. July 28, 2014 DATE HONORABLE GARY A. FEESS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE cc: PSA, USMO